

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,748	09/17/2003	Tsutomu Yamaguchi	056272.52748US	2643
23911 CROWELL &	7590 03/19/200 MORING LLP	EXAM	EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			QIN, JIANCHUN	
P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
······································	1,50200111000		2837	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/663,748
 YAMAGUCHI, TSUTOMU

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 Jianchun Qin
 2837

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO)	noreconnol):	
All participants (applicant, applicant 5 representative, FTO)	personner).	

 (1) Jianchun Qin.
 (3) Lincoln Donovan.

 (2) Robert L. Grabarek, Jr.
 (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 March 2008.

Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 8

Identification of prior art discussed: Stiles.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. a) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed the difference between the proposed amendment and the applied prior art reference</u>. <u>Examiner clarified some details about the art rejection of the claims</u>. <u>Applicant's representative solicited comments on further amendment to the claims</u>. <u>Applicant will consider to file a RCE</u>.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jianchun Qin/ Examiner, Art Unit 2837

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Examiner's signature, if required

Attachment to a signed Office action.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office